Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/084,222	SHIMOKAWA ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Etienne P. LeRoux	2161
All Participants:	Status of Application	n: Allowed
(1) Etienne P. LeRoux.	(3)	
(2) <u>Donna K Mason</u> .	<b>(4)</b>	
Date of Interview: <u>4 October 2006</u>	Time:	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	oplicant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: NA		
Claims discussed: 39 / 38		
Prior art documents discussed: NA		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE G A telephone call was made to applicant's representative to designation of claim 39 to claim 38		
Part III.		·
<ul> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separative directly resulted in the allowance of the application of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separation of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separation of all issues. A brief sun</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>The examiner will provide a rate record of the substance of</li> </ul>	written summary of the substance of the interview, since the interview
		·
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Appl	licant/Applicant's Representati	ive Signature – if appropriate)